arXiv:1606.06428v1 [cs.IT] 21 Jun 2016

All $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$

Wei Zhao, Xilin Tang*, Ze Gu

Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510640, P.R. China

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ be a finite field with cardinality p^m and $R = \mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ with $u^2 = 0$. We aim to determine all $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, $n, s \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\gcd(n, p) = 1$. Let $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ and $\alpha_0^{p^s} = \alpha$. The residue ring $R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta\rangle$ is a chain ring with the maximal ideal $\langle x^n - \alpha_0\rangle$ in the case that $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. If $x^n - \alpha_0$ is reducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, we give the explicit expressions of the ideals of $R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta\rangle$. Besides, the number of codewords and the dual code of every $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic code are provided.

Keywords: Constacyclic code, Dual code, Self dual code, Repeated-root code

2010 MSC: 11T71, 94B05, 94B15

*Corresponding author, Supported in part by NSFC (No. 11571119)

Email addresses: zhaowei4892@sina.com (Wei Zhao), xilintang2016@sina.com (Xilin Tang), guze528@sina.com (Ze Gu)

1. Introduction

The class of constacyclic codes plays a very significant role in the theory of error-correcting codes [23,25]. As a generalization of cyclic codes, constacyclic codes have practical applications as they can be efficiently encoded with simple shift registers. Many researchers are thus interested in this class of codes for both theoretical and practical reasons.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ be a finite field with cardinality p^m, where p is a prime and m is a positive integer. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, a λ-constacyclic code of length n over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$. In the literatures, most of the research is concentrated on the case of $\gcd(n, p) = 1$. The case that n is divisible by p yields the so-called repeated-root codes, which were first studied in 1967 by Berman [6] and then by Massey et al. [26], Falkner et al. [20] and Roth and Seroussi [31] in the 1970s and 1980s. Repeated-root codes were investigated in the most generality by Castagnoli et al [11] and van Lint [32]. It turns out that such codes are optimal in a few cases, which motivates researchers to further study this class of codes.

After the realization that many important yet seemingly non-linear codes over finite fields are actually closely related to linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ in particular, and codes over finite rings in general, the codes over finite rings have attracted a great deal of attention (see [1, 7, 9, 28]). The classification of codes plays a very important role in studying their structures and encoders. However, it is a very difficult task in general and only several codes of special lengths over certain finite fields or finite chain rings are classified. The classification and the detailed structures of all constacyclic codes of length 2^s over the Galois extension rings of $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ are given in [13]. In [15], Dinh

classified all constacyclic codes of length p^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. Later, Dinh et al.[16] studied negacyclic codes of length $2p^s$ over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. Chen et al. [12] investigated all constacyclic codes of length $2p^s$ over the ring $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, the α-constacyclic codes of length np^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ are provided by Cao et al. in [10]. The purpose of this paper is to determine the algebraic structures of all $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

The remainders of this paper are organized as follows. Preliminary concepts and results are shown in Section 2. We assume in Section 3 that $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, where $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ and satisfies $\alpha = \alpha_0^{p^s}$. It is shown that the ambient ring $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m})[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta\rangle$ is a chain ring with a maximal ideal $\langle x^n - \alpha_0\rangle$, and thus all $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes are $\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i\rangle$, $0 \leq i \leq 2p^s$. In Section 4, we consider the remaining case where $x^n - \alpha_0$ is reducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. According to the factorization of $x^n - \alpha_0$, the detailed structures of ideals of the ambient ring $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m})[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta\rangle$ are provided. Among other results, we also exhibit the number of $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes and the dual of every $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic code.

2. Preliminaries

Let R be a finite commutative ring with identity $1 \neq 0$. An ideal I of R is called *principal* if it is generated by one element. If all the ideals of R are principal, then R is called a *principal ideal ring*. R is called a *local ring* if R has a unique maximal ideal. A ring R is called a *chain ring* if the set of all ideals of R is linearly ordered under set inclusion. The following equivalence conditions are well known (cf.[17]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a finite commutative ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a local ring and the unique maximal ideal M of R is principal, i.e., $M = \langle r \rangle$ for some $r \in R$;

(ii) R is a local principal ideal ring;

(iii) R is a chain ring whose ideals are $\langle r^i \rangle$, $0 \leq i \leq N(r)$, where $N(r)$ is the nilpotency index of r.

Moreover, if R is a finite chain ring with the unique maximal ideal $\langle r \rangle$ and the nilpotency index of r is e, then the cardinality of the ideal $\langle r^i \rangle$ is $|R/\langle r \rangle|^{e-i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, e-1$.

A *code* $\mathcal{C}$ of length n over R is a nonempty subset of R^n. The code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *linear* if $\mathcal{C}$ is a R-submodule of R^n. For a unit λ of R, the λ-constacyclic (λ-twisted) shift τ_λ on R^n is the shift

$$\tau_\lambda(x_0, x_1, ..., x_{n-1}) = (\lambda x_{n-1}, x_0, ..., x_{n-2}).$$

A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be λ-*constacyclic* if $\tau_\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. Particularly, $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *cyclic code* if $\lambda = 1$, and a *negacyclic code* if $\lambda = -1$. For any $a = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_{n-1}) \in R^n$, let $a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$. The codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ are then identified with the polynomials in $R[x]$. In the ring $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$, $xa(x)$ corresponds to a λ-constacyclic shift of $a(x)$. From that, the following proposition is straightforward [23, 25].

Proposition 2.2. *A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n over R is a λ-constacyclic code if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of the residue class ring $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda \rangle$.*

The inner product of ambient space R^n is defined as usual, i.e., $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i b_i$, where $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_{n-1})$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, ..., b_{n-1}) \in R^n$. For a linear

code $\mathcal{C}$ over R, its *dual* code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ is the set of n-tuples over R that are orthogonal to all codewords of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0, \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}\}$. A code $\mathcal{C}$ is called *self-orthogonal* if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, and it is called *self-dual* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. We list some known results which will be used in our paper below.

Proposition 2.3. *(Proposition 2.11 [17]) Let p be a prime and R be a finite ring of size p^{α}. The number of codewords in any linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length n over R is p^k, for some integer $k \in \{0, 1, ..., \alpha n\}$. Moreover, the dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ has p^l codewords, where $k + l = \alpha n$.*

Proposition 2.4. *(Proposition 2.4 [13]) The dual of a λ-constacyclic code of length n over R is a λ^{-1}-constacyclic code of length n over R, i.e., an ideal of $R[x]/\langle x^n - \lambda^{-1} \rangle$.*

In the next, without special instructions, R denotes $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ with $u^2 = 0$. It is known that R is a chain ring with the unique maximal ideal $u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha + u\beta \rangle$, where $\alpha + u\beta$ is a unit in R. Clearly, $\alpha + u\beta$ is a unit in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$. It follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R are ideals of $\mathcal{R}$. When $\beta = 0$, the structures of α-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R are provided by Cao et al. in [10]. In this paper, we always assume $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, there exist $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ such that $\alpha_0^{p^s} = \alpha$. The structures of $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R are dependent on whether $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible or not in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. From [24], we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then the binomial $x^n - a$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

(i) each prime factor of n divides the order e of a in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, but not $\frac{q-1}{e}$;

(ii) $q \equiv 1 \mod 4$ if $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$.

Let T be a finite local commutative ring with maximal ideal M. Denote the residue field T/M by K and the natural projection $T[x] \to K[x]$ by φ. Thus the natural ring morphism $T \to K$ is simply the restriction of φ to the constant polynomials. Let f and g be in $T[x]$. f is a unit if there exists a polynomial h such that $fh = 1$; f is *regular* if f is not a zero divisor; f and g are *coprime* if $T[x] = (f) + (g)$. By [27], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *(Hensel's Lemma) Let f be in $T[x]$ and $\varphi f = \overline{g}_1 \cdots \overline{g}_n$, where $\overline{g}_1$,...,$\overline{g}_n \in K[x]$ are pairwise coprime. Then there exist g_1,...,g_n in $R[x]$ such that*

(i) g_1,...,g_n are pairwise coprime;

(ii) $\varphi g_i = \overline{g}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$;

(iii) $f = g_1 \cdots g_n$.

It is obvious that a polynomial in $T[x]$ may not have a unique factorization. However, for regular polynomials over a finite local commutative ring, the following important factorization property is from [27].

Proposition 2.7. *Let f be a regular polynomial in $T[x]$. Then*

(i) $f = \delta g_1 \cdots g_n$ where δ is a unit and $g_1, ..., g_n$ are regular primary coprime polynomials;

(ii) If $f = \delta g_1 \cdots g_n = \beta h_1 \cdots h_m$ where δ and β are units and g_i and h_j are regular primary coprime polynomials, then $n = m$ and, after renumbering, $(h_i) = (g_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

3. The case that $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$

In this subsection, we determine the structures of all $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R when $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. It is clear that any polynomial in $\mathcal{R}$ can be viewed as a polynomial in $R[x]$. We start with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Each nonzero polynomial $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ with degree less than n is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$, that is, there exists $g(x) \in R[x]$ such that $f(x)g(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ and $0 < deg(f) = k < n$. By the division with remainder in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, there exist unique $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that

$$x^n - \alpha_0 = f(x)q(x) + r(x),\ 0 \leq deg(r) < k.$$

Thus

$$x^{np^s} - \alpha = f(x)^{p^s} q(x)^{p^s} + r(x)^{p^s}.$$

Noticing that $r(x) \neq 0$ since $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. In the ring $\mathcal{R}$,

$$f(x)^{p^s} q(x)^{p^s} + r(x)^{p^s} - u\beta = 0.$$

Let $r(x)^{-1}$ be the inverse element of $r(x)$ if $r(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. It is easy to check that $u\beta - r(x)^{p^s}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$ and $(u\beta - r(x)^{p^s})^{-1} = u\beta r(x)^{-2p^s} + r(x)^{-p^s}$. It follows that

$$f(x)^{-1} = f(x)^{p^s - 1} q(x)^{p^s} (u\beta r(x)^{-2p^s} + r(x)^{-p^s}),$$

which means that $f(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. Thus we need only prove that all the polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree less than k are invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. By induction, it is suffice to consider the case of $k = 1$. In fact, $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$ if $k = 1$. Thus $f(x)$ is invertible as desired. □

Lemma 3.2. *In $\mathcal{R}$, we have $\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} \rangle = \langle u \rangle$. Moreover, $x^n - \alpha_0$ is nilpotent with nilpotency index $2p^s$.*

Proof. The desired result follows from the facts that $(x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} = x^{np^s} - \alpha = u\beta$ and β is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. The nilpotency index of $x^n - \alpha_0$ is obtained from $u^2 = 0$. □

Let $f(x) = f_1(x) + uf_2(x)$, where $f_1(x)$, $f_2(x)$ are polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ of degree up to $np^s - 1$. By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *The ring $\mathcal{R}$ is a chain ring whose ideal chain is as follows*

$$\mathcal{R} = \langle 1 \rangle \supsetneq \langle x^n - \alpha_0 \rangle \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{2p^s - 1} \rangle \supsetneq \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{2p^s} \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle.$$

In other words, $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic codes of length np^s over R are precisely the ideals $\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle$ of $\mathcal{R}$, $0 \leq i \leq 2p^s$. The number of codewords of $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code $\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle$ is $p^{mn(2p^s - i)}$.

Proof. As above, let $f(x) = f_1(x) + uf_2(x)$ be any polynomial in $\mathcal{R}$, where $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. In $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, there exist uniquely $q_1(x)$, $r_1(x)$ such that

$$f_1(x) = q_1(x)(x^n - \alpha_0) + r_1(x),$$

where $r_1(x) = 0$ or $0 \leq deg(r_1) < n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= q_1(x)(x^n - \alpha_0) + r_1(x) + \beta^{-1}(x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} f_2(x) \\ &= r_1(x) + h(x)(x^n - \alpha_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) \triangleq q_1(x) + \beta^{-1}(x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s-1} f_2(x)$. Since $x^n - \alpha_0$ is nilpotent from Lemma 3.2, $r_1(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if $f(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}$. If $r_1(x)$ is non-invertible, we obtain $r_1(x) = 0$ by Proposition 3.1. It follows that $f(x) \in \langle x^n - \alpha_0 \rangle$. Thus $f(x)$ is non-invertible if and only if $f(x) \in \langle x^n - \alpha_0 \rangle$. In other words, $\langle x^n - \alpha_0 \rangle$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}$. By Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{R}$ is a chain ring with maximal ideal $\langle x^n - \alpha_0 \rangle$. Therefore, the theorem is proved. □

Remark 3.4. It is obvious that $\alpha_0 x - 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, then Theorem 4.2 in [15] is a corollary of Theorem 3.3. When α_0 is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, the order of α_0 is an even number. By Lemma 2.5 we get $x^2 - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, then Theorem 3.3 in [12] is also a corollary of Theorem 3.3.

We also need to consider the algebraic structure of the dual codes of the $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes which are given in Theorem 3.3. By Proposition 2.4, the dual code of an $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ is an $(\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}$-constacyclic code. It is clear that $(\alpha + u\beta)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta$. Thus $\mathcal{C}^{\perp} \subseteq R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta \rangle$. Let $\alpha_0^{'p^s} = \alpha^{-1}$. It is easy to verify that $\alpha_0^{'} = \alpha_0^{-1}$ and $ord(\alpha_0) = ord(\alpha_0^{-1})$. By Lemma 2.5, $x^n - \alpha_0$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ if and only if $x^n - \alpha_0^{-1}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that $R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta \rangle$ is also a chain ring with the unique maximal ideal $\langle x^n - \alpha_0^{-1} \rangle$. Hence,we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, ..., 2p^s\}$ be an $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code of length np^s over R. Then its dual code $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ is an $(\alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta)$-constacyclic code*

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0^{-1})^{2p^s - i} \rangle \subseteq R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0^{-1})^j \rangle \subseteq R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha^{-1} - u\alpha^{-2}\beta \rangle$ be the dual code of the constacyclic code $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle \subseteq R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta \rangle$, where $0 \leq i, j \leq 2p^s$. By Proposition 2.3, $|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{C}^\perp| = p^{mn(4p^s - i - j)} = |R|^{np^s} = p^{2mnp^s}$. Therefore, $j = 2p^s - i$. □

As a corollary of Theorem 3.3 and corollary 3.5, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.6. *The ideal $\langle u \rangle = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} \rangle$ is the unique self-dual $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code of length np^s over R.*

Proof. Let $ua(x)$, $ub(x)$ be two arbitrary elements of the ideal $\langle u \rangle$. Denote $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are corresponding to the codewords $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_{np^s - 1}) \in R^{np^s}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, ..., b_{np^s - 1}) \in R^{np^s}$ respectively. Note that $u^2 = 0$. Then

$$\langle u\mathbf{a}, u\mathbf{b} \rangle = u^2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i b_i = 0.$$

This implies that $\langle u \rangle \subseteq \langle u \rangle^\perp$. By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$|\langle u \rangle^\perp| = \frac{|R|^{np^s}}{|\langle u \rangle|} = \frac{p^{2mnp^s}}{p^{mnp^s}} = p^{mnp^s} = |\langle u \rangle|.$$

This means $\langle u \rangle = \langle u \rangle^\perp$. Hence $\langle u \rangle$ is a self-dual $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code. Now suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ is a self-dual $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code of length np^s over R.It follows from Corollary 3.5 that

$$|\langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^i \rangle| = |\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{C}^\perp| = |\langle (x^n - \alpha_0^{-1})^{2p^s - i} \rangle|.$$

Thus $p^{mn(2p^s-i} = p^{mni}$, i.e., $i = p^s$. We have $\mathcal{C} = \langle (x^n - \alpha_0)^{p^s} \rangle = \langle u \rangle$ by Lemma 3.2. Then the uniqueness is proved. □

4. The case that $x^n - \alpha_0$ is reducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$

We now consider the case that $x^n - \alpha_0$ is reducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. Since $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, $x^n - \alpha_0$ has no repeated fators. Let $f_1(x)$,$f_2(x)$,...,$f_r(x)$ be pairwise coprime monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that $x^n - \alpha_0 = f_1(x)f_2(x)\cdots f_r(x)$. Then,

$$x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta = f_1(x)^{p^s} f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} - u\beta.$$

Since $f_1(x)^{p^s}$ and $f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s}$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, we have there exist $\nu_1(x), \omega_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that

$$\nu_1(x) f_1(x)^{p^s} + \omega_1(x) f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} = 1,$$

where $deg(\omega_1) < deg(f_1^{p^s})$. Thus, we obtain

$$x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta = (f_1(x)^{p^s} - u\beta\omega_1(x))(f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} - u\beta\nu_1(x)).$$

It is a routine to verify that $f_1(x)^{p^s} - u\beta\omega_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} - u\beta\nu_1(x)$ are coprime in $R[x]$. Next we consider the factorization of $f_2(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} - u\beta\nu_1(x)$. Since $f_2(x)^{p^s}$ and $f_3(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s}$ are coprime in $F_{p^m}[x]$, there exist $\nu_2(x), \omega_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that $deg(\omega_2) < deg(f_2^{p^s})$ and

$$\nu_2(x) f_2(x)^{p^s} + \omega_2(x) f_3(x)^{p^s} \cdots f_r(x)^{p^s} = 1.$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_2(x)^{p^s}\cdots f_r(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\nu_1(x)\\
=&(f_2(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\nu_1(x)\omega_2(x))(f_3(x)^{p^s}\cdots f_r(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\nu_1(x)\nu_2(x)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating this process, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{np^s}-\alpha-u\beta &= (f_1(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\omega_1(x))(f_2(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\nu_1(x)\omega_2(x))\\
&\quad\cdots(f_r(x)^{p^s}-u\beta\nu_1(x)\nu_2(x)\cdots\nu_{r-1}(x)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h_j(x)=f_j(x)^{p^s}+ug_j(x)$ $(1\le j\le r)$ where $g_1(x)=-\beta\omega_1(x)$, $g_j(x)=-\beta\nu_1(x)\cdots\nu_{j-1}(x)\omega_j(x)$, for $2\le j\le r-1$, $g_r(x)=-\beta\nu_1(x)\cdots\nu_{r-1}(x)$. Then $x^{np^s}-\alpha-u\beta=h_1(x)h_2(x)\cdots h_r(x)$ and $h_1(x)$,$h_2(x)$,...,$h_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime in $R[x]$.

Using the notations above, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $1\le i\le r$, $f_i(x)$ and $g_i(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$.*

Proof. As above, $x^{np^s}-\alpha-u\beta=\prod_{i=1}^{r}(f_i(x)^{p^s}+ug_i(x))$. Expanding the right side of the equation and comparing two sides of the equation, we can obtain that $v_i(x)g_i(x)+w_i(x)f_i(x)=-\beta$ for some $v_i(x),w_i(x)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ and for all $1\le i\le r$. The conclusion is obtained from $\beta\neq 0$. □

For any integer j, $1\le j\le r$, we assume that $\deg(f_j(x))=d_j$ and denote $H_j(x)=h_1(x)\cdots h_{j-1}(x)h_{j+1}(x)\cdots h_r(x)$. It is obvious that $H_j(x)$ and $h_j(x)$ are copime in $R[x]$. Hence there exist $s_j(x),t_j(x)\in R[x]$ such that

$$
s_j(x)H_j(x)+t_j(x)h_j(x)=1.
$$

Let $\varepsilon_j(x) = s_j(x)H_j(x) \mod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta)$. Then by the Chinese remainder theorem for commutative rings with identity, we deduce the following conclusion.

Lemma 4.2. *In the ring $\mathcal{R}$, the following statements hold for all $1 \leq j \neq l \leq r$:*

(i) $\varepsilon_1(x) + \cdots + \varepsilon_r(x) = 1$;

(ii) $\varepsilon_j(x)^2 = \varepsilon_j(x)$;

(iii) $\varepsilon_j(x)\varepsilon_l(x) = 0$.

Proof. (i) By the definition of $\varepsilon_j(x)$, we have

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_r \equiv \sum_{i \neq j} s_i H_i + 1 - t_j h_j \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}.$$

Thus $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_r \equiv 1 \pmod{h_j}, 1 \leq j \leq r$. By Proposition 2.7,

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_r \equiv 1 \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}.$$

Therefore, the first statement is valid.

(ii) Since

$$0 \equiv s_j H_j h_j \equiv h_j - t_j h_j^2 \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta},$$

we have

$$h_j \equiv t_j h_j^2 \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}.$$

Thus

$$\varepsilon_j^2 \equiv 1 - 2t_j h_j + t_j^2 h_j^2 \equiv 1 - t_j h_j \equiv \varepsilon_j \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}.$$

(iii) It is obvious. □

By Lemma 4.2, we get another expression of $\mathcal{R}$:

Lemma 4.3. *$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_r$, where $\mathcal{R}_j = \mathcal{R}\varepsilon_j(x)$ with $\varepsilon_j(x)$ as its multiplicative identity.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_j = \mathcal{R}\varepsilon_j(x)$ is a subring of $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{R}_r \subseteq \mathcal{R}$. For any $f(x) \in \mathcal{R}$, $f(x) = f(x)\varepsilon_1(x) + \cdots + f(x)\varepsilon_r(x)$. Therefore $f(x) \in \mathcal{R}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{R}_r$, that is, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{R}_r$. Let $0 = a_1(x)\varepsilon_1(x) + a_2(x)\varepsilon_2(x) + \cdots + a_r(x)\varepsilon_r(x)$, where $a_1(x), a_2(x), \ldots, a_r(x) \in \mathcal{R}$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 (iii) that $0 = a_j(x)\varepsilon_j(x)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Thus $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}_r$. □

Denote $\mathcal{K}_j = R[x]/\langle h_j(x)\rangle$. We have the following isomorphism.

Lemma 4.4. *For any integer $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, $\mathcal{K}_j$ and $\mathcal{R}_j$ are isomorphic as rings.*

Proof. We define a mapping $\phi_j : \mathcal{K}_j \to \mathcal{R}_j$ as follows:

$$a(x) \mapsto \varepsilon_j(x)a(x) \mod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta).$$

For $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j$. If $a(x) = b(x)$, then there exists $q(x) \in R[x]$ such that

$$a(x) - b(x) = q(x)h_j(x).$$

Thus,

$$a(x)\varepsilon_j(x) - b(x)\varepsilon_j(x) = q(x)h_j(x)\varepsilon_j(x).$$

Since $h_j(x)\varepsilon_j(x) \equiv s_j H_j h_j \equiv 0 \mod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta)$, we get $\phi_j(a) = \phi_j(b)$, which means ϕ_j is well-defined. If $\phi_j(a) = \phi_j(b)$, there exist $q^{'}(x) \in R[x]$ such that $a(x)\varepsilon_j(x) - b(x)\varepsilon_j(x) = q^{'}(x)(x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta)$. It follows from $\varepsilon_j(x) \equiv 1 - t_j(x)h_j(x) \mod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta)$ that $a(x) \equiv b(x) \mod h_j(x)$. Then ϕ_j is injection. It is obvious that ϕ_j is a surjective ring homomorphism. Therefore, ϕ_j is a ring isomorphism. □

We can construct a mapping ϕ from $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ onto $\mathcal{R}$ via ϕ_j as follows:

$$\phi(a_1(x), \ldots, a_r(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \phi_j(a_j(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x) a_j(x) \mod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta).$$

It is easy to verify that ϕ is a ring isomorphism. Therefore, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.5. *$\mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}$.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\alpha + u\beta$-contacyclic code of length np^s over* R *if and only if for each integer j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, there is a unique ideal $\mathcal{C}_j$ of $\mathcal{K}_j$ such that $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x)\mathcal{C}_j \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, we know that $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if there is a unique ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of the ring $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, by classical ring theory we see that $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{K}_r$ if and only if for each integer j, $1 \leq j \leq r$, there is a unique ideal $\mathcal{C}_j$ of $\mathcal{K}_j$ such that

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_r = \{(a_1, ..., a_r) | a_j \in \mathcal{C}_j, j = 1, ..., r\}.$$

When this condition is satisfied, we have

$$\mathcal{C} = \phi(\mathcal{I}) = \{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x) a_j | a_j \in \mathcal{C}_j, j = 1, ..., r\}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x)\mathcal{C}_j$. □

In order to determine all $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic codes over R, by Theorem 4.6, we need only to study the ideals of $\mathcal{K}_j = R[x]/\langle f_j(x)^{p^s} + ug_j(x)\rangle$.

Proposition 4.7. *All the nonzero polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree less than d_j are invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.1. □

Furthermore, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.8. *In the ring $\mathcal{K}_j$, $\langle f_j(x)^{p^s}\rangle = \langle u\rangle$ and $f_j(x)$ is a nilpotent with nipotency index $2p^s$.*

Proof. Since $f_j(x)^{p^s} + ug_j(x) = 0$ in $\mathcal{K}_j$, $\langle f_j(x)^{p^s}\rangle \subseteq \langle u\rangle$. It follows from $u^2 = 0$ that $f_j(x)$ is a nilpotent with nipotency index $2p^s$ in $\mathcal{K}_j$. By division with remainder in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, there exist $q(x)$, $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that

$$g_j(x) = f_j(x)q(x) + r(x),$$

where $0 \leq deg(r) < d_j$ or $r(x) = 0$. Since $\gcd(f_j(x), g_j(x)) = 1$, we obtain $r(x) \neq 0$. It follows from Proposition 4.7 that $r(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$. From the nilpotency of $f_j(x)$, we obtain $g_j(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(x)^{-1} = r(x)^{-1} - r(x)^{-2}f_j(x)q(x) - r(x)^{-3}f_j(x)^2q(x)^2 \\ - \cdots - r(x)^{-2p^s}f_j(x)^{2p^s-1}q(x)^{2p^s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u = -f_j(x)^{p^s}g_j(x)^{-1}$, i.e., $\langle u\rangle \subseteq \langle f_j(x)^{p^s}\rangle$. □

Theorem 4.9. *The ring $\mathcal{K}_j$ is a chain ring whose ideal chain is as follows*

$$\mathcal{K}_j = \langle 1\rangle \supsetneq \langle f_j(x)\rangle \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \langle f_j(x)^{2p^s-1}\rangle \supsetneq \langle f_j(x)^{2p^s}\rangle = \langle 0\rangle.$$

Each ideal $\langle f_j(x)^{i_j}\rangle$ has $p^{d_j m(2p^s - i_j)}$ elements, where $0 \leq i_j \leq 2p^s$.

Proof. Let $k(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j$, we can write uniquely $k(x) = k_1(x) + uk_2(x)$ with $k_1(x), k_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. Using division with remainder in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, there exist $q(x), r_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ such that

$$k_1(x) = q(x)f_j(x) + r_1(x),$$

where $r_1(x) = 0$ or $0 \leq deg(r_1) < d_j$. Thus

$k(x) = q(x)f_j(x)+r_1(x)+uk_2(x) = (q(x)-f_j(x)^{p^s-1}g_j(x)^{-1}k_2(x))f_j(x)+r_1(x)$

If $r_1(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$, then $k(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$ as $f_j(x)$ is a nilpotent. Otherwise, it follows from Proposition 4.7 that $r_1(x) = 0$. Thus, $k(x) \in \langle f_j(x)\rangle$ and so $g(x)$ is non-invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$. Furthermore, $k(x)$ is non-invertible in $\mathcal{K}_j$ if and only if $k(x) \in \langle f_j(x)\rangle$, which means $\langle f_j(x)\rangle$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{K}_j$. By Proposition 2.1, $\mathcal{K}_j$ is a chain ring. □

Corollary 4.10. *Every $\alpha + u\beta$-constacyclic code $\mathcal{C}$ of length np^s over* R *is*

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x)\langle f_j(x)^{i_j}\rangle \bmod (x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta),$$

where $0 \le i_j \le 2p^s$. The number of codewords of $\mathcal{C}$ is equal to $p^{\sum_{j=1}^{r} d_j m(2p^s-i_j)}$. Furthermore, the number of $\alpha+u\beta$-constacyclic code over R *of length np^s is equal to $(2p^s+1)^r$.*

For any polynomial $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i x^i \in \mathrm{R}[x]$ of degree $d \ge 1$. Recall that the *reciprocal polynomial* of $h(x)$ is defined as $\widetilde{h}(x) = \widetilde{h(x)} = x^d h(\frac{1}{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i x^{d-i}$ and $h(x)$ is said to be *self-reciprocal* if $\widetilde{h}(x) = \delta h(x)$ for some unit δ in R. It is known that $\widetilde{\widetilde{h}(x)} = h(x)$ if $h(0) \neq 0$, and $\widetilde{h_1(x)h_2(x)} = \widetilde{h}_1(x)\widetilde{h}_2(x)$ if $h_1(x)$, $h_2(x)$ are not zero divisor. Using the notations above, we have

$$x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1} = -(\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}\widetilde{h}_1(x)\widetilde{h}_2(x)\cdots\widetilde{h}_r(x).$$

Since $-(\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}$ is a unit in R,

$$R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}\rangle = \mathrm{R}[x]/\langle \widetilde{h}_1(x)\widetilde{h}_2(x)\cdots\widetilde{h}_r(x)\rangle.$$

It is a fact that $h_1(x)$, $h_2(x)$,...,$h_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime if and only if $\widetilde{h}_1(x)$, $\widetilde{h}_2(x)$,...,$\widetilde{h}_r(x)$ are pairwise coprime. Using the Chinese remainder theorem, we get

$$R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}\rangle \cong R[x]/\langle \widetilde{h_1}(x)\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus R[x]/\langle \widetilde{h_r}(x)\rangle$$

Next we discuss this isomorphism in detail. Some notations are given here:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} &= R[x]/\langle x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}\rangle; \\ \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j &= R[x]/\widetilde{h_j}(x), 1 \leq j \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

We define a map $\tau : \mathcal{R} \to \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ as follows:

$$\tau(a(x)) = a(x^{-1}), \ (\forall a(x) \in \mathcal{R}).$$

Here, $x^{-1} = (\alpha + u\beta)x^{np^s-1}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$. Then one can easily verify that τ is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{R}$ onto $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$.

As what we have discussed on $\mathcal{R}$, we define

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x) \equiv v_j(x^{-1})H_j(x^{-1}) \equiv 1 - w_j(x^{-1})h_j(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}}.$$

Then we have some lemmas in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ which is similar to $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 4.11. *(1)* $\widehat{\varepsilon}_1(x) + \cdots + \widehat{\varepsilon}_r(x) = 1$, $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)^2 = \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)$ *and* $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)\widehat{\varepsilon}_l(x) = 0$ *in the ring* $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ *for all* $1 \leq j \neq l \leq r$.

(2) $\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_r$ *where* $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_j = \widehat{\mathcal{R}}\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)$ *with* $\widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)$ *as its multiplicative identity and satisfies* $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_j\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_l = 0$ *for all* $1 \leq j \neq l \leq r$.

(3) For any integer j,$1 \leq j \leq r$, *for any* $a(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$ *we define*

$$\psi_j : a(x) \mapsto \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)a(x) \mod (x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}).$$

Then ψ_j *is a ring isomorphism from* $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$ *onto* $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_j$.

(4) For any $a_j(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$ *for* $j = 1, ..., r$, *define*

$$\psi(a_1(x),...,a_r(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \psi_j(a_j(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x)a(x) \pmod{x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}}.$$

Then ψ is a ring isomorphism from $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_1 \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_r$ onto $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$.

We now define a map $\tau_j : \mathcal{K}_j \to \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$ by $\tau_j(a(x)) = a(x^{-1})$ for any $a(x) \in \mathcal{K}_j$. It is easy to verify that τ_j is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_j$ onto $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$. By Theorem 4.7 we know the ideals in the ring $\mathcal{K}_j$ are of the forms $\langle f_j(x)^{i_j} \rangle$, $0 \leq i_j \leq 2p^s$. Hence we obtain that every ideal in the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$ is of the form $\langle f_j(x^{-1})^{i_j} \rangle$. Thus the ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ can be given by $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x) \langle f_j(x^{-1})^{i_j} \rangle$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_{np^s-1})$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1, ..., b_{np^s-1})$, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathrm{R}$ for all $i = 0, 1, ..., np^s - 1$. Let $a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{np^s-1} a_i x^i \in \mathcal{R}$, $b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{np^s-1} b_i x^i \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}$. If $\tau(a(x))b(x) = 0$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$, then $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = 0$.*

Proof. Since $x^{-1} = (\alpha + u\beta)x^{np^s-1}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$, we have $\tau(a(x)) = a_0 + a_1(\alpha + u\beta)x^{np^s-1} + a_2(\alpha+u\beta)x^{np^s-2} + \cdots + a_{np^s-1}(\alpha+u\beta)x$. Furthermore, $\tau(a(x))b(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{np^s-1} a_i b_i + c_1 x + \cdots + c_{np^s-1}x^{np^s-1}$. Thus $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ from $\tau(a(x))b(x) = 0$. ☐

Theorem 4.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $(\alpha + u\beta)$-constacyclic code over* R *of length np^s with $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \varepsilon_j(x) \langle f_j(x)^{i_j} \rangle \pmod{x^{np^s} - \alpha - u\beta}$. Then the dual code $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is an $(\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}$-constacyclic code over* R *of length np^s with*

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x) \langle f_j(x^{-1})^{2p^s - i_j} \rangle \bmod (x^{np^s} - (\alpha + u\beta)^{-1}).$$

Proof. It is easy to prove that $\tau(\varepsilon_j(x))\widehat{\varepsilon}_l(x) = 0$ in the residue ring $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ if $j \neq l$. From the ring isomorphism $\tau_j : \mathcal{K}_j \to \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_j$, we know that $0 = \tau_j(f_j(x)^{2p^s}) = f_j(x^{-1})^{2p^s}$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\varepsilon}_j(x) \langle f_j(x^{-1})^{2p^s - i_j} \rangle$. Then

$$\tau(\mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{D} = (\sum_{l=1}^{r} \varepsilon_l(x^{-1}) \langle f_l(x^{-1})^{s_l} \rangle)(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \widehat{\varepsilon}_l(x) \langle f_j(x^{-1})^{2p^s - i_j} \rangle) = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. Moreover from $|\mathcal{D}| = p^{\sum_{j=1}^{r} d_j m i_j} = |\mathcal{C}^{\perp}|$, we get $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. $\square$

References

References

[1] T. Abualrub, R. Oehmke, On the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ cyclic codes of length 2^e, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 49 (2003) 2126-2133

[2] T. Abualrub, I. Siap, Constacyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, J. Franklin Inst. 346 (2009), 520-529

[3] M.M. Al-Ashker, Simplex codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. A Sci. 30 (2005) 277-285

[4] M. C. V. Amerra, F. R. Nemenzo, On $(1-u)$-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{p^k} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (2008), 1129-1133

[5] E.R. Berlekamp, Negacyclic codes for the Lee metric, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Application, University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, NC, 1968, PP.298-316

[6] S.D. Berman, Semisimple cyclic and Abelian codes II, Kibernetika (Kiev) 3 (1967) 21-30 (in Russian); translated as Cybernetics 3 (1967) 17-23

[7] T. Blackford, Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of oddly even length, Discrete Appl. Math. 128 (2003) 27-46

[8] A.R. Calderbank, A.R. Hammons, P.V. Kumar, N.J.A. Sloane, P. Sol, A linear construction for certain Kerdock and Preparata codes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993) 218-222

[9] Y. Cao, On constacyclic codes over finite chain rings, Finite Fields Appl. 24 (2013) 124-135

[10] Y. Cao, Y. Cao, J. Gao, F. Fu, Constacyclic codes of length $p^s n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, arXiv:1512.01406v1[cs.IT] (2015)

[11] G. Castagnoli, J.L. Massey, P.A. Schoeller, N. von Seemann, On repeated-root cyclic codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 37 (1991) 337-342

[12] B. Chen, H. Q. Dinh, H. Liu , L. Wang, Constacyclic codes of length $2p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, Finite Fields Appl. 37 (2016), 108-130

[13] H.Q. Dinh, Negacyclic codes of length 2^s over Galois rings, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 51 (2005) 4252-4262

[14] H.Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length 2^s over Galois extension rings of F2+uF2, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 55 (2009) 1730-1740

[15] H.Q. Dinh, Constacyclic codes of length p^s over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 940-950

[16] H.Q. Dinh, L. Wang, S. Zhu, Negacyclic codes of length $2p^s$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} + u\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, Finite Fields Appl. 31 (2015) 178-201

[17] H.Q. Dinh, S.R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 50 (2004) 1728-1744

[18] S.T. Dougherty, S. Ling, Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of even length, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 39 (2006) 127-153

[19] S. T. Dougherty, P. Gaborit, M. Harada, P. Sole, Type II codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 45 (1999), 32-45

[20] G. Falkner, B. Kowol, W. Heise, E. Zehendner, On the existence of cyclic optimal codes, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 28 (1979) 326-341

[21] A.R. Hammons, P.V. Kumar, A.R. Calderbank, N.J.A. Sloane, P. Sol, The Z4-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 40 (1994) 301-319

[22] W. C. Huffman, On the decompostion of self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ with an automorphism of odd prime number, Finite Fields Appl. 13 (2007), 682-712

[23] W.C. Huffman, V. Pless, Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003

[24] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, vol. 20, in The Encyclopedia of Mathematics, G.-C. Rota, Ed. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1983

[25] F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes, 10thimpression, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998

[26] J.L. Massey, D.J. Costello, J. Justesen, Polynomial weights and code constructions, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 19 (1973) 101-110

[27] B.R.McDonald, Finite rings with identity, Vol.28, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, 1974

[28] A.A. Nechaev, Kerdock code in a cyclic form, Diskr. Math. (USSR) 1 (1989) 123C139 (in Russian); English translation: Discrete Math. Appl. 1 (1991) 365-384

[29] G. Norton, A. Sălăgean-Mandache, On the structure of linear cyclic codes over finite chain rings, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. 10 (2000) 489-506

[30] V. Pless, W.C. Huffman, Handbook of Coding Theory, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.

[31] R.M. Roth, G. Seroussi, On cyclic MDS codes of length q over GF(q), IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 32 (1986) 284-285

[32] J.H. van Lint, Repeated-root cyclic codes, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 37 (1991) 343-345